THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.7194 of 2007

Date: 27.04.2007

Between:

Araveedu Gram Panchayat.

....Petitioner

And

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary to Government and others.

.Respondents

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION No.7194 of 2007

–

O R D E R:

Petitioner is a Gram Panchayat. Through proceedings, dated 28.02.2007, the Assistant Director of Fisheries, Kadapa, second respondent herein, granted lease of fishery rights, in favour of the petitioner, in respect of Kushavathi Tank of Araveedu Gram Panchayat, Gaaliveedu Mandal, Kadapa District. The petitioner complains that the 5th respondent-Gram Panchayat is interfering with its activity, contrary to the proceedings issued by the second respondent.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Fisheries.

Had it been a case, where the petitioner and the 5th respondent are situated in the same District, it would have been possible for this Court, to issue directions, straight away, to the second respondent, to ensure that the proceedings, dated 28.02.2007, are implemented strictly.

While the petitioner is a Gram Panchayat in Kadapa District, 5th respondent is the one in Chittoor District. It is not known as to whether the authorities of Fisheries Department, Chittoor District have passed any orders in faovur of the 5th respondent. This aspect of the matter can be verified, in case, the petitioner approaches the Commissioner of Fisheries.

Hence, the Writ Petition is disposed of, leaving it open to the petitioner to approach the Commissioner of Fisheries. As and when a representation is made by the petitioner, the Commissioner of Fisheries shall call for the necessary reports from the Assistant Director of Fisheries, Kadapa District, on the one hand, and the Assistant Director of Fisheries, Chittoor District, on the other, in relation to the said Tank and pass appropriate orders, before the end of current season. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

27.04.2007

JSU